



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,279	07/13/2001	Viktor Soitu	VOI0200.US	2429
7	590 10/02/2002			
Todd T Taylo			EXAMINER	
Taylor & Aust 142 South Mai			ADDISON, KAREN B	
PO Box 560 Avilla, IN 46710			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002	\mathscr{C}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/889,279	SOITU, VIKTOR			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Karen B Addison	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 17-35 is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-19,23-25,30-32 and 34</u> is/are rejec	ted.				
7) Claim(s) <u>20-22,26-29,33 and 35</u> is/are objected	I to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		oved by the Examiner.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1196	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No.			
Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur	ity documents have been receiveau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ved in this National Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					

Application/Control Number:	Page 2
09/889,279	
Art Unit: 2834	

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

 Claims 21,22,26-30,33 and 35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17-19 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ivanto (4771197).

Ivanto discloses a electric machine in fig.1 comprising: a stator (3), a non- roterary shaft (4) caring the stator (3), a plurality of bearings (5) connected to the rotary shaft, a rotor (2) rotatably position around the stator and carried by the bearing and a micro-actuator (1) having a functional part with the short circuit arrangement associated with the rotor for operating the actuator. Ivanto also disclose a short circuit as the rotor, the actuator as the conveyor drive roll (1) and a frequency transformer driving and active speed control (fig2).

Application/Control Number:	Page 3
09/889,279	
Art Unit: 2834	

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20,23-25,32 and 34 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ivanto in view of Leibovich (4761602).

Ivanto discloses substantially the claim invention. However, Ivanto do not disclose the rotor having a plurality of short circuiting bars and rings being intergral with the rotor and the stator including windings one of the three pole stator winding, four pole winding and a six pole stator winding

Leibovich disclose in fig. 1-14 a rotor (20) having a plurality of short-circuiting bars (21a and 21b which are welded to the rotor) and rings (22,23) made of copper being integral with the rotor and a stator (30) including windings (36 and 38). Wherein, the windings includes one of a of a three pole stator winding, four pole windings and a six pole stator winding (col.6 line 40) for the purpose of transferring electromagnetic energy to the rotor winding. The method is inherent base on the structural limitation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the electric machine of Invanto with the stator structure of Leibovich for the purpose of providing variable speed with adjustable torque through phase shift control.

Application/Control Number: Page 4
09/889,279
Art Unit: 2834

It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made select winding that will facilitate a power output approximately 0.5 kilowatts to 500.0 kilowatt and the rotational speed at o rpm to 20,000 rpm since it has been held that were the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen B Addison whose telephone number is 703-306-5855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1317. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

NESTOR RAMIREZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

KBA September 24, 2002